

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,473	01/18/2002	George Baerveldt	UCI002.001A	7905
7590 05/18/2004			EXAMINER	
JAMES M. H	ESLIN, ESQ.,	FARAH, AHMED M		
TOWNSEND &	& TOWNSEND & CREW	/ LLP		
379 LYTTON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2ND FLOOR			3739	14
PALO ALTO,	CA 94301		D. 450 14.11 DD. 0540000	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/052,473	BAERVELDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ahmed M Farah	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-117 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-117 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 1-2 of the response, filed March 4, 2004, with respect to the Election/Restriction requirement of Paper No. 11 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Hence, the Election/Restriction requirement of Paper No. 11 has been withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-48, 56-83, 88-103, and 117, drawn to apparatuses for treating glaucoma, classified in class 606, subclass 004.
- II. Claims 49-55, 84-87, and 104-116, drawn to methods for treating glaucoma, classified in class 606, subclass 006.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the methods as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatuses such as a keratome, diamond blade or iridectomy scissors.



Art Unit: 3739

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

1. inventions I has species:

A (claims 1-18);

B (claims19-48, 56-64, 88-103, and 117 see Fig. 7); and

C (claims 65-83).

Furthermore, invention I, species B have a number of sub-species, such as:

- i. claims 29 and 90, directed to a mechanical energy ablator;
- ii. claims 30 and 91, directed to an RF energy ablator;
- iii. claims 31 and 92, directed to an ultrasonic transducer ablator;
- iv. claims 32 and 93, directed to ablator comprising an array of ultrasound transmissive plates;
- v. claims 33, 34, 94 and 95, directed to tissue ablator comprising a piezoelectric transducer;
- vi. claim 89, directed to tissue ablator comprising a laser source;
- vii. claim 35, directed to tissue ablator comprising a cryogenic element;
- viii. claim 36, directed to tissue ablator comprising a monopolar electrode; and

Art Unit: 3739

ix. claim 37, directed to tissue ablator comprising a bipolar electrode.

Should the applicants elect invention I, species B, they would further require to elect one of the above sub-species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 19 and 88 are generic to the above sub-species.

2. invention II has species C (claims 49-55, and 84-87, see Figs. 4a-4c) and (claims 104-106, see Fig. 25).

Should the applicants elect invention II, they are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic in invention II.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3739

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the applicants' representative, James M. Heslin (Reg. No. 29,541), on May, 12, 2004, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M Farah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5787. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 9:30 AM-7:30 PM, and 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM on every other Friday.

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M DVorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Farah,

Patent Examiner, AU 32739

05/14/2004